Law Intelligence.

NOTICES—THIS DAY.

COURT OF BANKRUPTCY, BASINGHALL-STREET.

BEFORE MR. COMMISSIONER HOLROYD.—For release: W. Walker, at 11—A. Gurney, at 11—R. Hunter, at 11. Last examination and discharge: J. J. Hall, at 11—J. Yeo, at 12. Last examinations and discharge: at 12—R. W. Yeo, at 12. Middlidge, at 12—E. Wade, at 12. Last examinations and discharge, at 12—Pasbo Cohen, adjourned examination and discharge, at 12—T. Dodson, at 12. Last examinations and discharge: J. Hall, at 1—J. Aldrit, at 1—J. Hall, at 1—J. Bibby, at 1—J. Aldrit, at 1—J. Aldrit, at 1—T. Aldrit, at 1—Charge: T. Knight, at 1—R. Yeo, at 1. Order of discharge: J. Jackson, at 11—R. Middlidge, at 12—J. Cordrey, jun., at 12—J. Hall, at 12. Last examinations and discharge: G. H. Noble, at 12—R. Frost, sen., at 2—J. Cull, at 2—S. Irgan, at 2—C. Kendall, at 2—S. Cull, at 2—A. Cull, at 2—R. Frost, at 2—R. Frost, at 3—C. Cull, at 3—J. Foster, at 3.

BEFORE MR. REGISTRAR PHILLIPS.—Sowerby and Tatton, at 11—Creditors, at 11—J. Mooney, adjudged a bankrupt, at 11—J. Mooney, creditors, at 11—L. Mooney, adjourned first meeting, at 11. First meeting: J. Lewis, at 12—Sir J. Salmon, at 12—Bennett and Brunner, at 12. Divisions: J. L. Sayer, at 11—Bennett and Brunner, at 12. Divisions: J. Brewer, at 12—J. F. smith, at 12—White and Simmons, at 12. First meetings: T. Atkins, at 11—W. Read, at 11—J. Spiers, at 11. First meetings: T. Atkins, at 12—J. Elkington, at 1—J. J. O. Golding, at 1—E. Sheppard, at 11—G. H. Noble, at 11. Public examination, adjourned first meeting. First meetings: J. Merrett, at 2—G. Merrett, at 2—J. Gander, at 2—J. Gander, at 2—J. Merrett, at 2—J. Merrett, at 2—Ordinal, at 3—P. Kenway, at 3—T. Martin, at 3.

BEFORE MR. REGISTRAR WINSLOW.—Dividends: R. Dickens, at 11—C. Simpton, at 11—J. Stevens, at 11. First meetings: J. Ennis, at 11—M. Ennis, at 11—J. Ennis, at 11—J. Ennis, at 11—W. G. Stokes, at 12—R. A. Warman, at 1—H. Mamroth, at 1—G. H. Pain, at 1—C. Jones, at 1—C. Jones, at 1—E. Thompson, at 1.

BEFORE MR. REGISTRAR HODGSON.—First meetings: D. Brittan, at 11—P. Bennett, at 11—J. Bennett, at 11—J. Harrison, at 11—A. Clarke, at 11—J. G. Ulrich, at 11—W. S. Lupton, at 11—C. H. Nicholls, jun., at 12—J. H. Bray, at 12—J. J. Hogan, at 12—S. E. Nicholls, jun., at 12.

Mr. Commissioner Holroyd is the commissioner of the day. Mr. Registrar Brougham is the registrar of the day.

COURT OF BANKRUPTCY.—JAN. 5.

(Before Mr. Commissioner Goulburn.)

IN RE SAMS.—NOVEL APPLICATION.

Mr. Child applied to the court for the 11th section of the act of 1861 in order to confirm a sale of certain property. The bankrupt, a trader, a foolish young man, had gone to California, leaving a considerable property behind him, and had sent home a power of attorney to sell the property in question for the benefit of his creditors. The copyhold property had been sold for an amount which had paid all the creditors in full, and an order was now asked to vest the property in question in the purchaser.

His Honour said the application was a novel one, and wished to know if there was any precedent for such an order.

Mr. Registrar Winslow said there was such a precedent in Mr. Commissioner Holroyd's court. He believed the 114th section of the new act had been introduced for the purpose of enabling the court to give a title, and thus save the expenses of conveyance.

His Honour said that under those circumstances he would make the order, on the requisite preliminaries being complied with.

IN RE CASTRO.

The bankrupt was a merchant, of Fenchurch-street. This was the sitting for order of discharge. The liabilities are 13,000*l.* ; assets sufficient for a dividend of about 1*s.* in the pound.

Mr. Bagley opposed for the assignees on the ground that the bankrupt had embarked in rash and hazardous speculations, and had contracted debts without having the means of payment. He had made large consignments to a brother in Rio Janeiro ; the case had been adjourned to ascertain what these consignments would realise, but the result had not been of such a character to be of benefit to the estate. After hearing Mr. Lawrance for the bankrupt,

His Honour said there were circumstances in the case which involved important questions in the administration of commercial law. The bankrupt, who had kept his books with tolerable accuracy, had contracted debts of 13,000*l.*, and all that had been realised was 450*l.* The bankrupt's account was not truthful ; he represented that 3000*l.* or 4000*l.* was due from his brother, but it turned out that the debt was a debt really a debt due to his brother. He had begun business at the early age of 18, he had rushed into rash and hazardous speculations, having lost upwards 5,000*l.* by speculations in corn. He represented his profits in the last year to have been 1,700*l.*, but he gave no satisfactory account of the disposal of this money. He had no right to go on trading when he had lost the money. He had a neat reflection might have told him that he could not pay a shilling in the pound. He had clearly contracted debts without probable means of paying them, and he (the court) discharge him suspended for six months from that date, with protection.

IN RE WATT AND LONG.

The bankrupts were described as shipping merchants, of Broken-wharf, Upper Thames-street. Watt now applied to pass his examination, and for his order of protection, as the other bankrupt, Has since died. The debts are 15,982*l.* ; property held by secured creditors, 11,529*l.*

Mr. Linklater opposed for the assignees. The transactions of the bankrupts had been to a very large amount. They had obtained large quantities of goods on credit, but could not tell what had become of them. Watt attributed this to Long having been in the habit of taking goods to the sale-rooms necessary for a proper account. The bankrupts had been in the habit of buying goods and immediately obtaining advances on them, sometimes even before they were bought.

The Commissioner—Are there any assets?

Mr. Graham, the official assignee, said he had only 35*l.* in hand.

Mr. Linklater said that it was very convenient for Watt to lay the blame on Long ; probably Long, if present, would blame Watt. There was one item of 1,000*l.* for stock-in-trade, the lithographic department, had been 16,800*l.* ; of those goods they had sold over the counter 5,000*l.*, and had pledged others to the extent of 11,500*l.*, leaving a deficiency of upwards of 2,000*l.*

The Commissioner—Have any steps been taken to find Long?

Mr. Linklater said the assignees had no funds.

The Commissioner—He ought to have been prosecuted.

Mr. Linklater said they were given to understand by Watt that North America was taking refuge. Long had been in the habit of obtaining advances from a Mr. Joseph Davison, in Cheapside, on the deposit of goods, some of which were alleged to be the property of others. Davison admitted that he had charged the bankrupt interest at the rate of 5 per cent. per month, or 60 per cent. per annum. He had sometimes charged 10 per cent. in the 100*l.* for a day, at the desire of these advances. He admitted that they had begun business without capital. It was asked that the examination should be adjourned for three months.

Mr. Wilson opposed for creditors, and also asked for an adjournment.

Mr. Sargood was willing, if the bankrupt was allowed to pass, that his order of protection should be suspended. The bankrupt had begun business as agent for a Nottingham house, Messrs. Simons, who assisted him to commence on his own account. Having to trust to memory, he should state Long into partnership, who brought in 800*l.* Their original business was that of importers of lithographic stones and other lithographic requisites, and could not meet only liabilities of 2000*l.* in respect of that legitimate business. But during Watt's absence his partner had incurred immense liabilities with millmen in the north, in the cotton trade, which had no connection whatever with their own business. Long took care that no records of these transactions should appear in the books of the firm ; and it was impossible for Watt to render a proper account.

Watt, being examined, stated that they began business in February, 1862. Long had been a salesman in a lace warehouse. He had no capital, but was a clever salesman, but had only to keep the books and execute orders. Mr. Simon, of Nottingham, sold witness the business for 500*l.* Long brought in 700*l.* ; since nothing. None of the purchases of the wholesale houses had been made by witness. He only knew of them afterwards from the bills which Long left. Long owed 2000*l.* of their debts was in respect of the lithographic business. He first saw Davison at his office in Cheapside, where he went to look for Long. They were introduced by Long (A laugh). Long was not in a fit state for business, and he sent him home in a cab. He had gone to look for Long, because there was no money to pay the wages of the men and they were employed. Long was now further information than he had done of the transactions of his partner. No a single shilling's worth of the goods ever passed through his hands as the agent of the partnership premises. He was not in the habit of looking at the cash-book of the firm. He knew that Long was in the habit of obtaining buying goods from wholesale houses, and shipping them through Davison. This had been going on for five or six months before the bankruptcy. He had formed any idea as to the amount, but he should have been surprised and frightened if he had known that it was 1,000*l.* He believed that Long had got advances, but did not know the modus operandi. There were frequent entries in the cash-book of advances from Davison—as much as 500*l.* in one week ; but he sometimes did not see the cash-book for four months ; he was very busy. He knew of 1000*l.* of money being received by Long from Brown and Son, whom he had since found were auctioneers.

Ultimately the sitting was adjourned.

CENTRAL CRIMINAL COURT.

The January session of the Central Criminal Court was opened yesterday before the Lord Mayor, the Lord Mayor, the Recorder, Alderman Challis, Alderman Finnis, Mr. Alderman and Sheriff J. C. Lawrence, Mr. Sheriff Jones, Mr. Under Sheriff Mackrell, and Mr. Under Sheriff Lawrence, &c., &c.

The first edition of the calendar contains the names of 93 prisoners.

The Recorder, in his charge to the grand jury, said that although only a short period had elapsed since the last session, there was a very considerable number of prisoners for trial, and some of the cases were of a very serious character. There was only one charge of wilful murder, and this was of rather a peculiar character. It being alleged that the prisoner had caused the death of her child in an endeavour to destroy herself with it. This in law amounted to the crime of wilful murder, and the only question for the grand jury was, whether the act of the child's mother was not part of the prisoner, or whether at the time she had no intention to cause the death of her infant. There were three cases of manslaughter, but they appeared all of a similar character, and had been caused by reckless driving. There was one very serious charge against a man for wounding with intent to murder. The prosecutrix in the case was the wife of the prisoner, and it appeared by the statement which was taken at a time that she was not expected to live, that the injury was inflicted by the prisoner. This appeared the only evidence there was, and the prisoner's statement was that he was in bed when he saw his wife take up a razor and cut her throat, and he got out of bed and called out "Murder." That was certainly the cry which attracted attention, but the prosecutrix, who was not able to articulate at the time the prisoner made this statement, shook her head and pointed to the prisoner. The witness Winsor was also called in, however, remarked that the bed was not at all disturbed, and that the wife was dressed, and there was no impression on it. There would be, however, evidence that the prosecutrix had on a previous occasion attempted to cut her throat by getting her throat between the bars, and it would be for the grand jury to say whether the evidence would satisfy them that the act was committed by the prisoner. There was also the case which would require careful consideration, to which he thought it his duty to call their attention, though he should not go into the details of the evidence. There would be a number of parties before them on the charge of having possession of a quantity of paper which had been manufactured at the mills of Messrs. Portal, for the purpose of printing Bank of England notes without lawful excuse. Bank of England notes without lawful excuse. The principal evidence was that of a lad named Brown, who was employed at the mills of Messrs. Portal, and who himself admitted paper was manufactured by him from time to time, and he had taken the paper from time to time, and he must consequently be looked upon in the character of an accomplice, and his evidence would have to be confirmed. He then called the attention of the grand jury to the portions of the evidence of the other witnesses, which, he said, was relied on to confirm the evidence of the lad Brown, and which they would have to consider was whether the facts were sufficient to justify them in returning a true bill. These were the only cases in which he thought it necessary to call the attention of the grand jury. They then retired to the performance of their duties.

James Taylor, 39, pleaded guilty to two indictments, charging him with embezzling various sums of money, the property of the London, Brighton, and South Coast Railway Company.

Mr. Lilley, who appeared for the prosecution, informed the court that the prisoner was employed as clerk at the goods station of the company at the Bricklayers' Arms, and the mode in which he had committed the offences was by neglecting to give them the proper bills of entry, and by receiving the amounts himself, which he had no business to do.

The Recorder inquired the total amount of the prisoner's defalcations.

Mr. Lilley said he believed they amounted to about 20*l.* altogether.

The prisoner was sentenced to twelve months' hard labour.

John Hornby, 19, porter, and *James Merritt*, 27, labourer, were charged with burglariously breaking into the dwelling-house of William Edwin Barber, and stealing 1,300 lbs. of silk, value 200*l.*, their property.

Mr. Pater conducted the prosecution ; the prisoners were defended by Mr. Daly.

It appeared that in this case, carry on business as umbrella manufacturers in Monkwell-street, City, and on the night of the 29th of November the premises were locked up, no person being left upon the premises. The place was entered during the night by some persons clambering over the roof of the adjoining house, and breaking a hole in a skylight, and they obtaining access to the warehouse by means of a rope ladder, which they left behind them, and a large quantity of silk was stolen. On the morning of the 30th eight pieces of silk were taken to the warehouse of the prosecutors, and the prisoner Hornby, who was in their service as porter, and two other men, were in the habit of taking parcels to and fro, and they were seen to place several large parcels in it, and the cab was then driven off. The principal evidence against the prisoner Hornby was that of the cabman who lived in the neighbourhood, who were positively to his being one of the men who were no doubt engaged in the robbery ; and when the prisoners were taken into custody, Merritt admitted that he was in company with Hornby during the whole of the night of the 30th of November, and they both gave contradictory statements with regard to the occurrences which had taken place during the night in question, and one statement, that they had slept at their lodgings, was clearly proved to be false. On the part of the prisoners a number of witnesses were called, and several witnesses were examined whose evidence went to show that during the evening of the 29th of November, and until half-past twelve o'clock at night, they were at a public-house about twelve o'clock at night, and that they went from this public-house to a coffee-shop in the City-road, where they remained until half-past eight o'clock on the morning of the 30th.

The Recorder having summed up, the jury, after a short deliberation, found both the prisoners *Not Guilty*.

MIDDLESEX SESSIONS.

The January quarter sessions of the peace for the county of Middlesex commenced yesterday, before Mr. Bodkin, Assistant-Judge, and a full bench of magistrates. The calendar is unusually heavy, there being no less than 133 prisoners committed for trial. The state of education of the prisoners was reported to be as follows:—Could read and write, 29 ; can read only, 29 ; can read and write imperfectly, 71 ; and read and write well, 4.

The Assistant-Judge, in addressing the grand jury on the duties that would devolve upon them, said that, although the calendar was numerically heavy, he thought that might be accounted for from the fact that a week would satisfy them that there would require careful attention, to which he thought it his duty to call their attention, though he should not go into the details of the evidence. There would be a number of parties before them on the charge of having possession of a quantity of paper which had been manufactured at the mills of Messrs. Portal, for the purpose of printing Bank of England notes without lawful excuse. The principal evidence was that of a lad named Brown, who was employed at the mills of Messrs. Portal, and who himself admitted paper was manufactured by him from time to time, and he had taken the paper from time to time, and he must consequently be looked upon in the character of an accomplice, and his evidence would have to be confirmed. There would be other evidence which